













dopaminergic system is a well-known substrate of substance use disorders.<sup>33</sup> While Weil and colleagues allow that there can be direct damage to the neural projections into the prefrontal cortex, they posit that a more likely consequence is initial hyper-stimulation of dopaminergic function caused by the injury, followed by chronic suppression of dopamine expression persisting into adulthood.<sup>34</sup> This effect may parallel the suspected mechanism of vulnerability to addiction caused by early life exposure to alcohol and other drugs.

These investigators have also posited that in some cases neuro-inflammatory processes caused by the injury do not return to normal levels, creating a cyclic effect whereby neuro-inflammation depresses dopaminergic function which increases the drive for alcohol, consumption of which increases neuro-inflammation, etc. Weil, Karelina, and Corrigan concluded that strong evidence from animal studies for an underlying mechanism, combined with moderate strength evidence of an association in human epidemiologic studies, support the conclusion that TBI occurring early in life can increase the incidence of alcohol use disorders.<sup>17</sup> Cannella, McGary, and Ramirez came to a similar conclusion with regard to adult substance use disorders more broadly.<sup>14</sup> They too posited a key role of TBI in adolescence interrupting the development of the dopaminergic system, resulting in adult predisposition to addiction. While this work provides substantial evidence for a link between TBI and substance use disorders, other work examining how persistent neuro-inflammation interacts with stress has concluded that it can cause depression and anxiety, if not psychotic spectrum disorders.<sup>35</sup>

## **Recommendations for Behavioral Health Treatment Providers**

### *1. Behavioral health professionals should screen for lifetime exposure to TBI.*

The unique fingerprint of TBI described above makes clear that this condition has a significant interaction with the occurrence, manifestation, and recovery from behavioral health disorders. Minimally, this is a condition that requires identification by behavioral health professionals. Several brief, easy to use, reliable, valid, and standardized methods are available for eliciting a client's lifetime history of TBI.<sup>36</sup> Underpinning the three recommendations which follow is the assumption that every behavioral health professional should know the extent of a client's lifetime exposure to TBI as one critical component of client history. With that information, program administrators and individual clinicians should incorporate the following recommendations into routine behavioral health care.

### *2. Treatment must accommodate neurobehavioral deficits due to TBI.*

Perhaps the single most important implication of TBI for behavioral health treatment is that professionals recognize neurobehavioral deficits that can arise from executive function impairment and accommodate these weaknesses in their treatment planning and execution. Neurobehavioral consequences of TBI do not have to undermine the ability for clients to participate in and benefit from the vast majority of conventional treatments.

Among several specific issues to address is recognition of the “cognitive load” that some treatments require. What does the treatment approach expect in terms of new learning—facts, rules, or routines? How can you assist a client with TBI who may have attention or memory

problems to acquire this information, and recall it when needed? Is orally presented information reinforced with written materials? Is the environment noisy or busy, and thus a source of distraction for a client with problems sustaining attention? How long are treatment activities, whether individual sessions or groups, do they accommodate a person with a limited attention span? Is information presented or discussed at a pace that allows someone with slower information processing abilities to stay abreast? Even though much behavioral health treatment relies on group interventions, we must assure that the structure and content remain accessible to all group members, especially those with relative weaknesses in concentration, learning, and memory.

Another consequence of not recognizing that clients may have neurobehavioral deficits is misattribution of their behavior by both peers and professionals. For instance, the client with a TBI who is unaware that she or he talks too much in group may elicit the conclusion from a peer that “she thinks her problems are more important than mine” or “he’s just trying to waste our time”. We tend to assume that people recognize the impact of their behavior on others, but for clients with a history of TBI that has altered their social cognition, this awareness may not be there. The negative misattribution arises from the assumption that the client is persisting with the behavior *despite* its impact on others. Another common misattribution among professionals is to assume that treatment non-compliance reflects a client’s motivation to change. For a person with a history of TBI, a late arrival or missed appointment could as easily result from poor memory, organization or planning, as it can from low commitment to treatment. The source of non-compliance must be evaluated before a conclusion is reached or a consequence is determined. When non-compliance arises from executive function weaknesses, the relationship with a treating professional will be better served by problem-solving to figure out a compensatory strategy than by a consequence that presumes low motivation.

### 3. *Treatment must be holistic in order to address co-morbid conditions.*

Persons with TBI are often experiencing other medical conditions as well as multiple behavioral health disorders. Common health problems among persons with TBI include headache<sup>37</sup>, fatigue<sup>38</sup>, sleep disturbance<sup>39</sup>, balance problems<sup>40</sup>, pituitary dysfunction<sup>41</sup>, seizure disorders,<sup>42</sup> and vision abnormalities.<sup>43</sup> It is typical for these health problems to necessitate medication, often presenting additional considerations when initiating medication-based treatment for a behavioral health problem. Further complicating the presence of multiple medications may be an increased sensitivity to side effects, such as sedation, which will in turn, have a disproportionate effect on alertness, cognitive function, and behavioral control. Many of these co-morbid medical conditions also will exacerbate with stress (*e.g.*, headache, sleep disturbance, seizure regulation), introducing additional complications during times of crisis. A holistic approach to a client’s medical presentation and, particularly, pharmacologic requirements may be essential.

It is well-established that persons with substance use disorders and TBI are also quite likely to be experiencing other psychiatric conditions. Several years ago a study was conducted in one state’s substance use disorder system in which all clients statewide entering treatment during a one-year period were screened for a lifetime history of loss of consciousness due to TBI.<sup>44</sup> For the almost 8,000 clients screened, as the number of TBIs increased, so did the likelihood of mental health conditions, including affective disorders, suicidal behavior, hallucinations, and being on a

prescription medication for a psychiatric diagnosis. Multiple studies before and since have confirmed these findings.<sup>45-48</sup> Consistent with this relationship, studies of persons receiving treatment who are dually diagnosed with severe mental illness and substance use disorders have been found to have a high prevalence of TBI—60% of clients in a study of largely homeless, dually diagnosed treatment recipients had at least one TBI with loss of consciousness in their lifetime.<sup>49</sup> Despite the marked morbidity in this population, those with a history of TBI had worse psychiatric symptomatology and greater likelihood of co-occurring personality disorders and post-traumatic stress disorder. More than 70% of treatment recipients in a rural dual diagnosis program had at least one TBI with loss of consciousness.<sup>50</sup> In this cohort, personality disorders were significantly more likely among those with TBI.

#### *4. Improvement gained by insight must be supplanted by other therapeutic supports*

Among behavioral health providers who treat persons with TBI, it is recognized that the disconnect between the intention to change behavior and success in doing so is even greater than for clients without a history of TBI. While most treatment start by seeking insight into the need to change behavior, behavioral health care also recognizes the importance of a person's social environment for encouraging and sustaining changed behavior, the role of internal states (impulses, drives, stress) for improving the chances of successful change, and the critical role that sustaining changed behavior plays in consolidating treatment gains.

All of these factors—environmental influences, internal states and time—play an even more important role for successful behavior change in the patient with neurobehavioral deficits due to TBI. A study of patients enrolled in one Integrated Dual Diagnosis Treatment program found that clients with a history of TBI were as likely to benefit from enrollment as clients without such a history.<sup>50</sup> However, professional staff expressed lower prognostic expectations for clients with a TBI history if the programmatic structure was removed. Staff unaware of the clients' history of TBI identified a greater need for on-going community supports among those with a positive history. While it is good practice to identify what elements of a person's environment—social and physical—may enhance or impede improvement, this evaluation is essential for persons with TBI. Explicitly addressing these influences in treatment planning and identifying long-term natural supports that will assist with sustaining positive change must be incorporated into the treatment approach.

The corollary to the greater influence of the external environment is the recognition that clients with a history of TBI will also be more susceptible to internal states that enhance or detract from successful behavior change. The practical implications for behavioral healthcare are that medication-based treatments may be even more important. Further, more time will be required to weaken stimulus-response patterns and consolidate healthy lifestyle changes.

While a review of pharmacological approaches to behavioral health treatment is beyond the scope of the present article, clients with TBI who have behavioral health conditions for which there is evidence of pharmacologic benefit should be given the opportunity of a trial. This advice may seem banal but, in current treatment for substance use disorders, medication-based treatment may not be routine.<sup>51</sup> Because of the greater influence of internal states on behavior, persons with TBI will also require more time for healthy lifestyle changes to become habitual. Similarly,

it will take longer for susceptibility to stress or relapse to decline, so that medications may need more time before consideration of weaning.

### **Conclusions**

A TBI is damage to the brain caused by an external force. While it is most common for the head to be struck by or against an object, being shaken violently or exposed to an explosion can also cause a TBI. The general public now knows that TBI and concussions exist, although they may not know that a concussion is a TBI. Because of the public's poor understanding, it is incumbent upon the professional to determine if a client has a sufficient history of TBI that he or she may be experiencing consequences that will affect the treatment process. This expectation of professionals is particularly salient among behavioral health professionals. It is reasonable to expect that half of the adult clients treated in community mental health programs will have had at least one TBI with loss of consciousness in their lifetime; and one in six will have had a moderate or severe TBI.<sup>47</sup> Both of these rates are more than twice what would be expected among non-institutionalized adults.

The high prevalence of TBI in behavioral health settings alone should be reason for improving our ability to recognize it among our clients. Furthermore, the magnitude of increased risk for suicide and the confluence with the opioid epidemic also should motivate us to better identify clients with a history of TBI. However, as briefly described in this article, the connection with behavioral health does not stop with vulnerability; the proclivity for damage to the frontal areas of the brain interacts with our treatment approaches such that treatment is less effective for these clients.

The “fingerprint” of TBI is impaired “executive” functions that regulate thinking, behavior, and emotional expression and are critical to success in treatment. A follow-up investigation to the Match Study found that persons with cognitive impairments such as those described in this article were more likely to prematurely terminate treatment, often classified as non-compliant.<sup>52</sup> Treatment termination was often preceded by low expectations for benefiting from treatment; which, is often evident clinically when the cognitive demands of treatment exceed a client's capabilities. It is also plausible that misattribution of motives by peers and professionals undermine the expectation of benefit from treatment.

While the recommendations suggested in this article are not evidence-based, they are suggested as best practices that can be incorporated into treatment until such time as the research evidence can be compiled. The recommendations have low potential for iatrogenic effects. Clinical experience indicates that identifying a history of TBI is viewed positively by most clients—who often express a sentiment like “at least I'm not dumb” or “I thought I was just bad”.

Identification often serves to increase motivation to make changes while allowing implementation by professionals of the other recommendations.

The accommodations to treatment suggested here reflect broad principles that are known to enhance treatment in general and are likely to also benefit clients who have not had TBIs. Examining our treatment procedures and settings to identify how cognitive weaknesses might be barriers to treatment will benefit many clients in community programs. Recognizing that not all behavior is a function of motivation but can sometimes arise from neurological deficits is a needed insight in professional practice. Many clients in community programs have multiple

medical and behavioral health conditions. Thinking and treating holistically will benefit complicated cases—recognizing that TBI is a source of complication is a positive step. Finally, thinking more systematically about how we can support insight with positive internal and external influences is best practice regardless of a client’s risk factors.

As the previous paragraph underscores, there is much need for research on the interaction between TBI and behavioral health. Continuing to study the underlying mechanism between injury and vulnerability has the potential of resulting in the discovery of new treatments to either prevent or ameliorate the underlying source of risk. There are multiple opportunities to study how proven behavioral health interventions may need to be modified to better serve persons with TBI. Research on Screening and Brief Intervention for persons with TBI is a case in point that demonstrates how treatment can be improved.<sup>53,54</sup> Medication-based treatment would seem to be a high priority area for understanding how TBI may affect proven approaches. Evaluation research is also needed to confirm that the skills that will be needed among behavioral health professionals can be conveyed through training, and that outcomes actually improve as a result.

There is a substantial public health burden arising from TBI. As indicated above, the annual cost to society of TBI is estimated to approach \$1 billion,<sup>2</sup> but is certainly substantially higher.<sup>3,4</sup> A better understanding of how mild TBIs in childhood can affect adult health and behavior could cause this estimate to skyrocket. As an example, Sariaslan and colleagues estimated that the population attributable fraction (the proportional reduction in morbidity that would occur if a risk factor were eliminated) was 5.5% for psychiatric hospitalizations and 3.1% for any psychiatric visit. These implied costs to society are reason enough for behavioral health care to systematically address TBI; but the potential benefit for a substantial portion of clients is even more compelling.

*This working paper was supported by the Center for Mental Health Services/Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration of the Department of Health and Human Services.*

## References

1. Taylor CA, Bell JM, Breiding MJ, Xu L. Traumatic brain injury-related emergency department visits, hospitalizations, and deaths - United States, 2007 and 2013. *MMWR Surveill Summ.* 2017;66(9):1-16.
2. Gooch CL, Pracht E, Borenstein AR. The burden of neurological disease in the United States: A summary report and call to action. *Ann Neurol.* 2017;81(4):479-484.
3. Whiteneck GG, Cuthbert JP, Corrigan JD, Bogner JA. Prevalence of self-reported lifetime history of traumatic brain injury and associated disability: A statewide population-based survey. *J Head Trauma Rehabil.* 2016;31(1):E55-62.
4. Yi H, Corrigan JD, Singichetti B, et al. Lifetime history of traumatic brain injury and current disability among Ohio adults. *J Head Trauma Rehabil.* 2018;33(4):E24-E32.
5. Menon DK, Schwab K, Wright DW, Maas AI, Demographics and Clinical Assessment Working Group of the International and Interagency Initiative toward Common Data Elements for Research on Traumatic Brain Injury and Psychological Health. Position statement: Definition of traumatic brain injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2010;91(11):1637-1640.
6. Ruff RM, Iverson GL, Barth JT, Bush SS, Broshek DK, NAN Policy and Planning Committee. Recommendations for diagnosing a mild traumatic brain injury: A national academy of neuropsychology education paper. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol.* 2009;24(1):3-10.
7. Johnson VE, Stewart W, Arena JD, Smith DH. Traumatic brain injury as a trigger of neurodegeneration. *Adv Neurobiol.* 2017;15:383-400.
8. Guskiewicz KM, McCrea M, Marshall SW, et al. Cumulative effects associated with recurrent concussion in collegiate football players: The NCAA concussion study. *JAMA.* 2003;290(19):2549-2555.
9. McKinlay A, Grace RC, Horwood LJ, Fergusson DM, MacFarlane MR. Long-term behavioural outcomes of pre-school mild traumatic brain injury. *Child Care Health Dev.* 2010;36(1):22-30.
10. Corrigan JD, Bogner J, Holloman C. Lifetime history of traumatic brain injury among persons with substance use disorders. *Brain Inj.* 2012;26(2):139-150.
11. Sariaslan A, Sharp DJ, D'Onofrio BM, Larsson H, Fazel S. Long-term outcomes associated with traumatic brain injury in childhood and adolescence: A nationwide Swedish cohort study of a wide range of medical and social outcomes. *PLoS Med.* 2016;13(8):e1002103.
12. McKinlay A, Dalrymple-Alford J, Norwood LJ, Fergusson DM. Long term psychosocial outcomes after mild head injury in early childhood. *Journal of Neurology, Neurosurgery & Psychiatry.* 2002;73(3):281-288.
13. Weil Z, Karelina K, Gaier KR, Corrigan TED, Corrigan JD. Juvenile traumatic brain injury increases alcohol consumption and reward in female mice. *J Neurotrauma.*
14. Cannella LA, McGary H, Ramirez SH. Brain interrupted: Early life traumatic brain injury and addiction vulnerability. *Exp Neurol.* 2019;317:191-201.
15. Ponsford J, Alway Y, Gould KR. Epidemiology and natural history of psychiatric disorders after TBI. *J Neuropsychiatry Clin Neurosci.* 2018;30(4):262-270.
16. Corrigan JD, Bogner J, Mellick D, et al. Prior history of traumatic brain injury among persons in the traumatic brain injury model systems national database. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2013;94(10):1940-1950.

17. Weil ZM, Karelina K, Corrigan JD. Does pediatric traumatic brain injury cause adult alcohol misuse: Combining preclinical and epidemiological approaches. *Exp Neurol*. 2019;317:284-290.
18. Orlovska S, Pedersen MS, Benros ME, Mortensen PB, Agerbo E, Nordentoft M. Head injury as risk factor for psychiatric disorders: A nationwide register-based follow-up study of 113,906 persons with head injury. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2014;171(4):463-469.
19. Simpson GK, Brenner LA. Suicide prevention after neurodisability: An evidence-informed approach. New York, New York: Oxford University Press; 2019:288. 9780199928415.
20. Fazel S, Wolf A, Pillas D, Lichtenstein P, Langstrom N. Suicide, fatal injuries, and other causes of premature mortality in patients with traumatic brain injury: A 41-year Swedish population study. *JAMA Psychiatry*. 2014;71(3):326-333.
21. Madsen T, Erlangsen A, Orlovska S, Mofaddy R, Nordentoft M, Benros ME. Association between traumatic brain injury and risk of suicide. *JAMA*. 2018;320(6):580-588.
22. Ahmedani BK, Peterson EL, Hu Y, et al. Major physical health conditions and risk of suicide. *Am J Prev Med*. 2017;53(3):308-315.
23. Corrigan JD, Adams RS. The intersection of lifetime history of traumatic brain injury and the opioid epidemic. *Addict Behav*. 2019;90:143-145.
24. Bertenthal D, Yaffe K, Barnes DE, et al. Do postconcussive symptoms from traumatic brain injury in combat veterans predict risk for receiving opioid therapy for chronic pain? *Brain Inj*. 2018;32(10):1188-1196.
25. Seal KH, Bertenthal D, Barnes DE, et al. Traumatic brain injury and receipt of prescription opioid therapy for chronic pain in Iraq and Afghanistan veterans: Do clinical practice guidelines matter? *J Pain*. 2018;19(8):931-941.
26. Adams RS, Larson MJ, Meerwijk EL, Williams TV, Harris AHS. Postdeployment polytrauma diagnoses among soldiers and veterans using the Veterans Health Affairs Polytrauma System of Care and receipt of opioids, nonpharmacologic, and mental health treatments. *J Head Trauma Rehabil*. 2019;34(3):167-175.
27. Hammond FM, Barrett RS, Shea T, et al. Psychotropic medication use during inpatient rehabilitation for traumatic brain injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2015;96(8 Suppl):S256-S273.e14.
28. Ilie G, Adlaf EM, Mann RE, et al. Associations between a history of traumatic brain injuries, and current cigarette smoking, substance use, and elevated psychological distress in a population sample of Canadian adults. *J Neurotrauma*. 2015;32(14):1130-1134.
29. Bigler ED, Maxwell WL. Neuropathology of mild traumatic brain injury: Relationship to neuroimaging findings. *Brain Imaging Behav*. 2012;6(2):108-136.
30. Courville C. *Pathology of the central nervous system*. 3rd ed. ed. Mountain View, CA: Pacific Press Publishing Association; 1950.
31. Yuh EL, Gean AD. Structural neuroimaging. In: Zasler ND, Katz DI, Zafonte RD, Arciniegas DB, Bullock MR, Kreutzer JS, eds. *Brain Injury Medicine: Principles and Practice*. 2nd ed. New York: Demos Medical Publishing; 2012:194-217.
32. Karelina K, Gaier KR, Weil ZM. Traumatic brain injuries during development disrupt dopaminergic signaling. *Exp Neurol*. 2017;297:110-117.
33. Martinez D, Gil R, Slifstein M, et al. Alcohol dependence is associated with blunted dopamine transmission in the ventral striatum. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2005;58(10):779-786.

34. Weil ZM, Karelina K. Traumatic brain injuries during development: Implications for alcohol abuse. *Front Behav Neurosci.* 2017;11:135.
35. Tapp ZM, Godbout JP, Kokiko-Cochran ON. A tilted axis: Maladaptive inflammation and HPA axis dysfunction contribute to consequences of TBI. *Front Neurol.* 2019;10:345.
36. Dams-O'Connor K, Cantor JB, Brown M, Dijkers MP, Spielman LA, Gordon WA. Screening for traumatic brain injury: Findings and public health implications. *J Head Trauma Rehabil.* 2014;29(6):479-489.
37. Stacey A, Lucas S, Dikmen S, et al. Natural history of headache five years after traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma.* 2017;34(8):1558-1564.
38. Ponsford J, Schonberger M, Rajaratnam SM. A model of fatigue following traumatic brain injury. *J Head Trauma Rehabil.* 2015;30(4):277-282.
39. Nakase-Richardson R. Improving the significance and direction of sleep management in traumatic brain injury. *J Head Trauma Rehabil.* 2016;31(2):79-81.
40. Perry SB, Woollard J, Little S, Shroyer K. Relationships among measures of balance, gait, and community integration in people with brain injury. *J Head Trauma Rehabil.* 2014;29(2):117-124.
41. Srinivasan L, Roberts B, Bushnik T, et al. The impact of hypopituitarism on function and performance in subjects with recent history of traumatic brain injury and aneurysmal subarachnoid haemorrhage. *Brain Inj.* 2009;23(7):639-648.
42. Bushnik T, Englander J, Wright J, Kolakowsky-Hayner SA. Traumatic brain injury with and without late posttraumatic seizures: What are the impacts in the post-acute phase: A NIDRR traumatic brain injury model systems study. *J Head Trauma Rehabil.* 2012;27(6):E36-44.
43. Hunt AW, Mah K, Reed N, Engel L, Keightley M. Oculomotor-based vision assessment in mild traumatic brain injury: A systematic review. *J Head Trauma Rehabil.* 2016;31(4):252-261.
44. Walker R, Cole JE, Logan TK, Corrigan JD. Screening substance abuse treatment clients for traumatic brain injury: Prevalence and characteristics. *J Head Trauma Rehabil.* 2007;22(6):360-367.
45. Vaughn MG, Salas-Wright CP, John R, Holzer KJ, Qian Z, Veeh C. Traumatic brain injury and psychiatric co-morbidity in the united states. *Psychiatr Q.* 2019;90(1):151-158.
46. Sayko Adams R, Corrigan JD, Mohr BA, Williams TV, Larson MJ. Traumatic brain injury and post-deployment binge drinking among male and female army active duty service members returning from Operation Enduring Freedom/Operation Iraqi Freedom. *J Neurotrauma.* 2017;34(7):1457-1465.
47. Corrigan JD, Mysiw WJ. Substance abuse among persons with TBI. In: Zasler ND, Katz DI, Zafonte RD, Arciniegas DB, Bullock MR, Kreutzer JS, eds. *Brain injury medicine: Principles and practice.* Second ed. New York: Demos Medical Publishing; 2012:1315-1328.
48. Parry-Jones BL, Vaughan FL, Miles Cox W. Traumatic brain injury and substance misuse: A systematic review of prevalence, and outcomes research (1994-2004). *Neuropsychol Rehabil.* 2006;16(5):537-560.
49. McHugo GJ, Krassenbaum S, Donley S, Corrigan JD, Bogner J, Drake RE. The prevalence of traumatic brain injury among people with co-occurring mental health and substance use disorders. *J Head Trauma Rehabil.* 2016.

50. Corrigan JD, Deuschle JJ. The presence and impact of traumatic brain injury among clients in treatment for co-occurring mental illness and substance abuse. *Brain Inj.* 2008;22(3):223-231.
51. National Academies of Sciences Engineering and Medicine. Medications for opioid use disorder save lives. Washington, DC: The National Academies Press; 2019. 10.17226/25310.
52. Bates ME, Pawlak AP, Tonigan JS, Buckman JF. Cognitive impairment influences drinking outcome by altering therapeutic mechanisms of change. *Psychol Addict Behav.* 2006;20(3):241-253.
53. Bogner JB, Corrigan JD. Interventions for substance misuse following TBI: A systematic review. *Brain Impairment, Brain Impair.* 2013;14(May):77-91.
54. Corrigan JD, Bogner J, Hungerford DW, Schomer K. Screening and brief intervention for substance misuse among patients with traumatic brain injury. *J Trauma.* 2010;69(3):722-726.

A TBI is "...an alteration in brain function, or other evidence of brain pathology, caused by an external force". External forces include the head being struck by an object; the head striking an object; the head accelerating or decelerating without direct external trauma (as occurs in shaken baby syndrome); a foreign body penetrating the brain; or energy generated from events such as a blast or explosion.<sup>5</sup>

**Figure 1. Definition of TBI**

1. Behavioral health professionals should screen for lifetime exposure to TBI.
2. Treatment must accommodate neurobehavioral deficits due to TBI.
3. Treatment must be holistic in order to address co-morbid conditions.
4. Improvement gained by insight must be supplanted by other therapeutic supports

**Figure 2. Recommendations for Behavioral Health Treatment**